

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/896,887	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KRAUTKREMER, TODD JOSEPH
	<b>Examiner</b> Wen-Tai Lin	<b>Art Unit</b> 2154

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Wen-Tai Lin.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Spolyar.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 April 2005**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

## Claims discussed:

*All independent claims*

## Prior art documents discussed:

*Sarnikowski [US 6847609]***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested further amendment to combine features of claims 13 and 15 into all the independent claims in view of the newly found prior art. The applicant planned to send in draft amendment for the examiner to incorporate into the examiner's amendment.